

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/813,532             | HOSUR, SRINATH      |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Sudhanshu C. Pathak    | 2611                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Carlton H. Hoel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 & 16 June 2006
**Time:** afternoon
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

No

**Claims discussed:**

Yes – Claims 1, 7-8 &amp; 12

**Prior art documents discussed:**


Yes

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The independent claims were to be amended so as to avoid re-opening prosecution of the application and to avoid rejection based on the new art searched as disclosed in PTO-892. Furthermore, claims 7-8 & 12 were further amended to avoid 112 rejections.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)